## REMARKS

Claims 1-20 are presently pending and stand rejected.

Claims 1 and 11 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious from the combination of Cottle, Dye & Porter.

Claim 1 recites, among other limitations, "sorting the data using the window controller in accordance with respective depths of the windows; transmitting header packets from the window controller". Assignee notes that "sorting the data" is performed "using the window controller" and "transmitting header packets" is performed "from the window controller".

Examiner has indicated that Cottle teaches "sorting the data using the window controller in accordeance with respective depths of the windows; col. 127, lines 13-18); (col. 43, lines 25-40; col. 113, lines 41-67; col. 114, lines 1-20).

Cottle, Col. 43, Lines 25-40 describes "Fig. 18G".

"Fig. 18G shows an example of display of two overlapped windows." "The display controller 270-4 access sequentially the display memory 312 (Fig. 7) from the first word containing the pixels X\_20, X\_21 to the last word containing X\_11, Y\_11." Col. 43, Lines 37-41. It is noted that "display controller 270-4" is part of the "OSD Controller 270". See Figure 18F, Col. 42, Lines 43-53. Cottle Col. 113, Line 41 - Col. 114, Line 20 also describes "OSD hardware". Note, however, that none of the foregoing citations, col. 127, lines 13-18; col. 43, lines 25-40; col. 113, lines 41-67; col. 114, lines 1-20 stated that any actions that are described are performed by the "transport packet parser".

Examiner also indicates that Cottle teaches "transmission of header packets containing data describing windows (col. 24, lines 23-30; col. 74, lines 20-28)". It is respectfully noted that while Col. 74, lines 20-28 describes the "Transport Packet Parser", none of the actions described in col. 24, lines 23-30; col. 74, lines 20-28 are performed by the OSD.

Claim 1 explicitly claims that the actions "sorting the data" is performed "using", and "transmitting header packets" is "from", the same structure, "the window controller". Thus, even if Cottle teaches "sorting the data" at col. 127, lines 13-18; col. 43, lines 25-40; col. 113, lines 41-67; col. 114, lines 1-20 and "transmitting header packets" at col. 24, lines 23-30; col. 74, lines 20-28, Assignee respectfully submits that Cottle does not teach "sorting the data using the window controller in accordance with respective depths of the windows; transmitting header packets from the window controller". Examiner does not indicate that either Dye or Porter teach the foregoing. Accordingly, Assignee respectfully traverses the rejection to claim 1 and dependent claims 2-10.

Claim 11 recites, "a window controller ... for sorting the data in accordance with respective depths of the windows" and "wherein the window controller transmits header packets". Claim 11 was rejected along with claim 1. Accordingly, Assignee also traverses the rejection to claim 11 and 12-20.

## CONCLUSION

For at least the foregoing reasons, Assignee submits that each of the pending claims are now in a condition for

allowance. Accordingly, Examiner is requested to pass this case to issuance.

It is believed that all monies for the actions described herein are provided with this correspondence. To the extent that additional monies are required for any of the actions requested in the correspondence, Commissioner is authorized to charge such fees and credit any overpayments to deposit account 13-0017.

Respectfully Submitted

April 14, 2008

Mirut Dalal Attorney for Assignee Reg. No. 44,052

McAndrews, Held & Malloy, Ltd. 500 West Madison - Suite 3400 Chicago, IL 60661

Phone (312) 775-8000 FAX (312) 775-8100